# On the origin of X-ray disc-reflection steep radial emissivity

### Jiří Svoboda

**European Space Astronomy Centre of ESA** 

Collaborators: Michal Dovčiak, Vladimír Karas (AS CR, Prague) René W. Goosmann (Strasbourg Observatory) Matteo Guainazzi, Prashin Jethwa (ESAC, Madrid) Giovanni Miniutti (CAB, Madrid)

Black Hole Universe 2012, Bamberg, Germany, 22/6/2012

## Outline

#### • Introduction

- $\rightarrow$  spin measurements by relativistic iron lines (Laura Brenneman's and Refiz Duro's talk)
- $\rightarrow\,$  radial emissivity in relativistic models of broad iron lines
- $\rightarrow\,$  steep radial emissivity in observations
- Origin of the steep radial emissivity
  - $\rightarrow$  irradiation by a lamp-post corona
  - $\rightarrow\,$  angular directionality
  - $\rightarrow\,$  influence of radially dependent ionisation
- Conclusions

#### Radial emissivity in the relativistic iron lines



- radial dependence:  ${\cal R}(r_{
  m e}) \propto r_{
  m e}^{-q}$  (or a broken power law)
- KYRLINE (Dovčiak et al., 2004), a = 0.9982,  $\theta_0 = 30 \text{ deg}$ ,  $E_0 = 6.4 \text{ keV}$ ,  $r_{\text{in}} = r_{\text{ms}}(a) = 1.23$ ,  $r_{\text{out}} = 400$ , and isotropic angular emissivity

### **Steep radial emissivity in observations**

- many observations of active galaxies and Galactic black hole binaries require steep radial emissivity in the relativistic iron line profile than expected (standard value q = 3,  $I(r_{\rm e}) \propto r_{\rm e}^{-q}$ )
- examples:
  - $\rightarrow$  MCG-6-30-15:  $q_1 = 4.8 \pm 0.7$ ,  $r_{\rm br} = 6.5^{+4.5}_{-1.4} r_{\rm g}$  (Fabian et al., 2002)
  - ightarrow 1H0707-495:  $q_1 \approx 7.5$ ,  $r_{
    m br} \approx 4.5 r_{
    m g}$  (Fabian et al., 2009)
  - $\rightarrow$  IRAS 13224-3809:  $q \approx 5 9$  (Ponti et al., 2010)
  - $\rightarrow$  XTE J1650-500, GX 339-4:  $q \approx 5.5$  (Miller et al., 2002, 2004)
  - $\rightarrow$  Cyg X-1 (Duro et al., 2011, Fabian et al., 2012)
- possible explanations:
  - → centrally localised corona ("lamp-post geometry") (Matt et al., 1991, Martocchia et al., 2000, Wilms et al., 2001, Wilkins et al., 2012)
  - $\rightarrow$  usage of an improper emission directionality (Svoboda et al., 2009)
  - $\rightarrow$  radially stratified ionisation (Svoboda et al., submitted)

#### Part I. Lamp-post geometry of the corona

• (point-like) source above the black hole (Dovčiak et al., before submission, see also Wilkins et al. 12 and poster by Dauser et al.)

radial emissivity: 
$$q \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}\log N_{\mathrm{inc}}}{\mathrm{d}\log r}$$

 $\rightarrow$  differs from a broken power law, very steep only for  $h < 2\,r_{\rm g}$ 



#### Radial emissivity in the lamp-post geometry



- data simulated by a power law ( $\Gamma = 1.9$ ) and relativistic iron line in the lamp-post geometry with  $a_{def} = 0.94$ ,  $i_{def} = 30 \deg$  and  $h = 1.5 r_g$
- then fitted by a model with the radial emissivity described as a broken power-law (with q = 3 at the outermost disc)
- steep indices,  $q \lesssim 5$ , found in the inner disc (break radius  $r_{
  m b} \sim 6 r_{
  m g}$ )

### Part II. Emission directionality in reflected radiation

• emission directionality  $\mathcal{M}(\mu_{\rm e}, r_{\rm e}, E_{\rm e})$  = dependence of the intensity on the emission angle ( $\mu_{\rm e} = \cos \theta_{\rm e}$ )

 $\mathcal{M}(\mu_{\rm e}) = \begin{cases} \ln(1 + \mu_{\rm e}^{-1}) & \text{limb brightening, Haardt 93} \\ 1 & (\text{locally isotropic emission}) \\ 1 + 2.06 \, \mu_{\rm e} & \text{limb darkening, Laor 91} \end{cases}$ 



### **Emission angle from a black hole accretion disc**

 $\bigcirc$  counter clock-wise rotation

 $\downarrow$  direction to the observer

### Lamp-post geometry: incident angle and directionality



- at the innermost region: grazing angles of irradiation
- "irradiation from above" occurs at a few gravitational radii
- directionality calculated using Monte-Carlo radiative transfer code NOAR (Dumont et al., 00) for the case of "cold" reflection
- strong limb-brightening effect at the innermost region

### The effect on radial emissivity and BH spin



- default parameters: a = 0.94,  $i = 30 \deg$ ,  $h = 1.5 r_{\rm g}$ , and numerical directionality (NOAR)
- model with limb darkening overestimates the radial emissivity index as well as the spin value

#### Part III. Ionised reflection models

- photoionisation dominates in determining the ionisation state of plasma
- ionisation parameter:

$$\xi = \frac{4\pi F_{\rm inc}}{n_{\rm H}}$$

• REFLIONX (Ross & Fabian, 1993, 2005)

 $\rightarrow$  assumes constant density, no angular dependence



. . . . . . . . . .

### Radial dependence of the ionisation parameter $\xi(r)$

- currently: data are fitted using only one reflection component, i.e. assuming  $\xi$  constant over the whole accretion disc
- but (!):  $\xi(r) = \frac{4\pi F_{\rm inc}(r)}{n_{\rm H}(r)}$
- assuming  $F_{\rm inc}(r) \approx r^{-3}$ :



### **Radially stratified ionisation model**

• constant density disc, isotropic irradiation, a = 0.94, q = 3,  $R \approx 1$ 



### Fit with single ionisation model



- best-fit results:  $\chi^2/\nu\approx 1.35,\,\xi=310\pm 10\,{\rm erg\,s^{-1}},\,q=4.2\pm 0.1$
- residuals are at the iron line edge (can be fitted by an additional narrow line or may affect equivalent width measurements of a narrow iron line from distant reflector often occuring in the spectra as well)
- radial emissivities  $q\approx 4-5$  are obtained, i.e. similar values like with the lamp-post model

#### **Combined effect**

- simulated data: lamp-post geometry with the height  $h = 1.5 r_{\rm g}$ , isotropic angular emissivity, and the radially stratified ionisation (with constant density profile)
- fit: single ionisation reflection with limb darkening
- best-fit results:  $\chi^2/\nu \approx 1.03$ ,  $a = 0.94 \pm 0.02$ ,  $\xi = 230 \pm 20 \,\mathrm{erg}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ,  $q = 6.7 \pm 0.9$  (!)



### Conclusions

- relativistic iron lines in X-ray spectra are useful tools for investigation of the innermost regions of black hole accretion discs
- steep radial emissivity in X-ray disc-reflection spectra may be due to:
  - $\rightarrow$  centrally localised corona (*Martocchia et al. 00, Wilkins et al. 12*)
  - $\rightarrow$  the employed definition of the angular distribution of the disc emission (*Svoboda et al. 09*)
  - $\rightarrow$  radially stratified ionisation (*Svoboda et al. 12, submitted*)
- very steep radial emissivities, such as  $q \approx 7$ , can be naturally explained by the combined result of all three effects

### Conclusions

- relativistic iron lines in X-ray spectra are useful tools for investigation of the innermost regions of black hole accretion discs
- steep radial emissivity in X-ray disc-reflection spectra may be due to:
  - $\rightarrow$  centrally localised corona (*Martocchia et al. 00, Wilkins et al. 12*)
  - $\rightarrow$  the employed definition of the angular distribution of the disc emission (*Svoboda et al. 09*)
  - $\rightarrow$  radially stratified ionisation (*Svoboda et al. 12, submitted*)
- very steep radial emissivities, such as  $q\approx 7,$  can be naturally explained by the combined result of all three effects

Thanks a lot for your attention!